



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/886,234	06/21/2001	Erik S. Anderson	4010-001	5887

7590

02/13/2003

DENNIS H. RAINEAR  
PATENT COUNSEL  
13400 COLLEGE VALLEY LANE  
RICHMOND, VA 23233

EXAMINER

ROWAN, KURT C

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3643

DATE MAILED: 02/13/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Interview Summary

Application No.  
09/886,234

Applicant(s)  
ANDERSON et al.

Examiner  
KURT ROWAN

Art Unit  
3643



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) KURT ROWAN

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) DENNIS RAINEAR

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview Feb 5, 2003

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal (copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: 3 and 7

Identification of prior art discussed:

Kahng

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Mr Raineir discussed the invention and the Office Action. As to the rejection under 35 USC 251 in regard to recapture, Mr Raineir pointed out that all of the claims of the original patent had limitations drawn to "entanglement" and will file an amendment with arguments drawn to this concept. The examiner will consider.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

KURT ROWAN  
PRIMARY EXAMINER  
ART UNIT 3643

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Kurt Rowan  
Examiner's signature, if required